

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,062	07/09/2003	Hui Shi	81674-304847	9533
7590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
Roger R. Wise			JEANGLAUDE, JEAN BRUNER	
PILLSBURY V	VINTHROP LLP			
Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
725 South Figueroa Street			2819	
Los Angeles (A 90017-5406			

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			μ				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/616,062	SHI, HUI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jean B Jeanglaude	2819				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5 and 18 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/original papers	wn from consideration. or election requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-09-03</u> .			D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/616,062

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Insert on page 1 as subtitle "Cross Reference To Related Applications"

Insert under the subtitle "This is a continuation in part of application number 10/074,200, filed on February 12, 2002, U.S. Pat. No. 6,614,372.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 4, 6 10, 19 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weder (US Patent Number 5,959,559) in view of Jackson et al. (US Patent Number 5,243,347).
- 3. Regarding claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, Weder discloses a parallel to serial converter and method (fig. 1) that comprises a voltage output device (output hold element) to produce a voltage representative of a value of a bit in a serial bit stream converted from a parallel bit (note the serial output in fig. 1); a number of selection devices (EL/SQ1, fig. 1) by way of a selecting unit (SR) accepts as input n number of parallel bits (A1,, Am, fig. 1) wherein an active selection device accepts as input a current (CS) and outputs an active selection device current directly to a corresponding current source and non active selection devices are inactive at one time (col 2, lines 19 56). Weder does not specifically disclose a parallel to serial converter that comprises a current steering device. However, Jackson et al. teaches the desire of a system (fig. 1) that comprises a

Application/Control Number: 10/616,062

Art Unit: 2819

steering logic (30, 31,), switch 53 is made conductive and all the current provided to node 42 by the steering logic portion which provides steering current (col 2, lines 33 – 35; 48 – 61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weder with that of Jackson et al. in order to convert data.

- 4. Regarding claims 3, 4, 8, 9, Weder discloses the limitations as discussed above except that Weder did not set N to eight and ten. However, one skilled in the art would recognize that setting a variable, such as N, is a matter of choice in design. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Weder would perform the same function as the claimed invention since one ordinary skill in the art would understand that setting a variable would be a matter of choice in design.
- 5. Claims 11 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weder (US Patent Number 5,959,559) in view of Jackson et al. (US Patent Number 5,243,347) and Cassiday et al. (US patent Number 5,978,419).
- 6. Regarding claims 11 14, Weder discloses a parallel to serial converter and method (fig. 1) that comprises a voltage output device (output hold element) to produce a voltage representative of a value of a bit in a serial bit stream converted from a parallel bit (note the serial output in fig. 1); a number of selection devices (EL/SQ1, fig. 1) by way of a selecting unit (SR) accepts as input n number of parallel bits (A1, ..., Am, fig. 1) wherein an active selection device accepts as input a current (CS) and outputs an active selection device current directly to a corresponding current source and non active

Application/Control Number: 10/616,062

Art Unit: 2819

selection devices are inactive at one time (col 2, lines 19 - 56). Weder does not specifically disclose a parallel to serial converter that comprises a current steering device. However, Jackson et al. teaches the desire of a system (fig. 1) that comprises a steering logic (30, 31,), switch 53 is made conductive and all the current provided to node 42 by the steering logic portion which provides steering current (col 2, lines 33 - 35; 48 - 61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weder with that of Jackson et al. in order to convert data.

- 7. Moreover, both Weder and Jackson et al disclose the limitations as discussed above except the transmitter device that comprises an output buffer which receives a serial bit in the serial bit data stream from the P/S and to transmit a differential data stream over a transmission line. However, Cassiday et al. teaches the desire of a transmitter device (fig. 3) that comprises an output buffer (51, fig. 3) receives a serial bit in the serial bit data stream from the P/S and to transmit a differential data stream over a transmission line (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Weder and Jackson et al.'s system with that of Cassiday et al. in order to transfer data between a transmitter and a receiver in a communication system.
- 8. Regarding claims 15, 16 Weder discloses the limitations as discussed above except that Weder did not set N to eight and ten. However, one skilled in the art would recognize that setting a variable, such as N, is a matter of choice in design. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 10/616,062 Page 5

Art Unit: 2819

made that Weder would perform the same function as the claimed invention since one ordinary skill in the art would understand that setting a variable would be a matter of choice in design.

9. Regarding claim 17, as noted in page 1, first paragraph, the applicant admits that 10 Gigabit per second media independent interface to 10 Gps attachment unit interface is well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 5, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. A reason for allowing claims 5, 18 will be provided in the next office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B Jeanglaude whose telephone number is 571-272-1804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 A. M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,062 Page 6

Art Unit: 2819

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean Bruner Jeanglaude

Jean Gruner Jeanslande

March 25, 2004

JEAN JEANGLAUDE FRIMARY EXAMINER